Members Present: Cindy Haq (phone), Sue Kunferman, Katherine Marks, Rick Moss, Greg Nycz, Pat Remington (Chair), Ken Taylor (phone), Barbara Zabawa, and Rob Lemanske.

Staff Present: Quinton Cotton, Andrea Dearlove, Lisa Hildebrand, Tonya Mathison, Amanda Price, Kelly Thompson, Eileen Smith, and Nathan Watson.

1. Call meeting to order

Remington called the meeting to order at 1:07 pm.

2. Announcements
   a. Audit Update
      i. Financial audit will be completed soon. The program audit is ongoing with a possible completion date of late summer.

3. Decision on draft minutes
   a. April 15, 2015 OAC Meeting
      i. Motion to approve was made by Nycz and seconded by Kunferman. There was no discussion and the vote to approve was unanimous.

4. Monthly Reports
   a. Moss provided an update on the Partnership Education and Research Committee, which met on 5.11.15.
   b. SHOW Grant. PI Javier Nieto expressed desire to step down and his resignation was accepted. Paul Peppard, Associate Professor, Department of Population Health Sciences was recommended and approved by the committee. Peppard wants to see the SHOW infrastructure used more effectively in the School of Medicine and Public Health and in communities. Kunferman asked if SHOW will continue its work in communities and the answer was “yes.”
   c. Collaborative Health Sciences Program. Twenty two pre-proposals were received and the Executive Committee reviewed and decided that the top 11 should be invited to submit full proposals. PERC accepted the Executive Committee’s recommendations. Up to three applications will be funded. Remington indicated his strong support for two public members on the NIP and CHSP review committees. This is a significant change and a good opportunity to receive community input.

5. Discussion and Decision on Community Impact Grants Program (CIG)
   a. Wording of requirements for application.
      i. Zabawa asked how will organizations know if they are eligible or not (based on a meeting she had attended where there was some confusion). Dearlove responded that the RfP was designed to anticipate and respond to these questions directly.
ii. Several members of the OAC suggested moving information from the back FAQ section to the first pages of the RfP and to re-title the supplementary section.

b. Discussion about the role of small organizations as related to this RfP.
   i. Dearlove explained that eligibility was set at the $500K annual budget because research and experience has shown that larger organizations have the administrative capacity to handle large grants. Remington warned that we do not want to be “exclusive” to larger organizations and noted that large organizations contracting with smaller organizations is very important to the program.
   ii. Marks expressed concern that the “lead” agency will not be accommodating to smaller partner organizations. Smith noted that a “lead” organization is required contractually. Remington said it should not be difficult to see if there is genuine engagement. Nycz talked about a personal experience with grassroots organizations and coalitions working with larger organizations. Community members were “fired up” about an issue but lacked the organizational capacity to implement changes. They were linked with a large organization which helped to provide capacity. Nycz referred to this as “knitting together” the community. Dearlove confirmed that the RfP would be edited to illustrate the point that all organizations can have a seat at the table if they are working towards the same health goal.

c. Sample Application
   i. Lemanske suggested that a sample scenario / application could be included that would illustrate what an ideal application might look like

d. Decision
   i. The motion to approve the RfP was made by Nycz and seconded by Zabawa. During the discussion an amendment was made that pending final review by committee members electronically the RfP will be approved. Nycz and Zabawa agreed to the amendment. The vote was unanimous.

6. Update on Community Opportunity Grants
   a. Cotton stated that 29 applications submitted and are currently under review. At the June meeting Cotton will present the results of the review and allow the committee to decide on funding for up to 11 2-year ($50,000 dollar) grants.

7. Approval of No Cost Extension Requests
   a. The Black Health Coalition of Wisconsin, Inc. for Community Action and Community Capacity Building for Type 2 Diabetes Preventions
      i. Project that seeks to lower diabetes rates among obese black women. Time is needed to evaluate and recruit. Activities within the extension period are consistent with the grant as a whole. Staff recommends approving. Amount approved to carry over: $36,223.
   ii. The motion was made by Nycz and seconded by Marks. The vote was unanimous.
   b. Racine Vocational ministry for Reducing African American Infant Birth Disparities Through Decreased Prison Recidivism and Increased Living-Wage Employment of Mothers and Fathers
i. Grantee is seeking more time for enrollment. Most activities have been at the participant level. Activities are consistent with the grant. Staff recommends approving. Amount approved to carry over: $50,000.

ii. The motion was made by Kunferman and seconded by Marks. There was no discussion and the vote was unanimous.

c. Wheaton Franciscan Healthcare- All Saints and All Saints Foundation for Centering Program of Racine Lifecourse Initiative for Healthy Families
   i. Centering pregnancy provides prenatal care to women in a group setting. More time needed to evaluate using funds from the hospital. Staff recommends approving extension. Amount approved to carry over: $46,600.
   ii. The motion was made by Nycz and seconded by Marks. The vote was unanimous.

8. Adjourn-Next meeting Wednesday June 10, 1-4pm, WIMR 6170
   a. Remington adjourned the meeting at 2:35 pm. The motion was made by Nycz and seconded by Marks.

Recorder, Nathan Watson
Secretary, Sue Kunferman